above. The total amount of income-tax demand outstanding against these business houses in respect of such companies was Rs. 393·76 crores as on 31-3-1991.

(b) Out of the total demand of Rs. 393·76 crores, demand of Rs. 290·61 crores was not enforceable as on 31-3-1991 either because the demand had not fallen due for payment or recovery thereof had been stayed or the payments had been made by the assessees but were yet to be verified and adjusted in the departmental records. A large part of the demand is disputed in appeals and appellate authorities have been requested for disposal of appeals on priority. In suitable cases payment of demand in instalments had been allowed. Recovery action in all these cases is regularly monitored at the level of Commissioner of Income-tax and above for taking suitable legal and administrative steps to recover the tax dues.

Statement

Sl. No.	Name of the business house
1.	Tata
2.	Birla
3.	Reliance
4.	Thapar
5.	J. K. Singhania
6.	Larsen & Toubro
7.	Modi
8.	Bajaj
9.	Mafatlal
10.	M. A. Chidambaram
11.	Hindustan Lever
12.	United Breweries
13.	T. V. S. Iyenger
14.	ITC.
15.	Shri Ram
16.	A .C. C.
17.	Oswal Agro
18.	Mahindra & Mahindra
19.	Essar
20.	Kirloskar

Procurement of Cotton by Cotton Corporation of India

2412. SHRI SURYA NARAYAN YADAV : Will the Minister of TEXTILES be pleased to state :

(a) the total production of cotton in bales during 1990-91;

(b) the number of bales procured by the Cotton Corporation of India during 1989-90 and 1990-91 and the price per quintal paid by the Corporation State-wise;

(c) the number of bales of cotton exported and their sale price in rupees as well as in foreign currency; and

(d) the details of loss/profit to the Cotton Corporation of India during the last three years?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF TEXTILES (SHRI ASHOK GEHLOT) : (a) The production of cotton during the 1990-91 cotton season has been estimated at 115 lakh bales by the Cotton Advisory Board.

(b) to (d) A statement is attached

Statement

(b) The number of bales procured by Cotton Corporation of India during 1989-90 and 1990-91 cotton seasons and the average price per quintal paid by CCI, State-wise, is as follows :

STATE	1989-90		1990-91	
	Purchases by CCI (in bales)	Price paid by CCI (Rs. per quintal)	Purchases by CCI (in bales)	Price paid by CCI (Rs. per quintal)
Punjab	2,85,967	724	1,31,268	550
Haryana	1,42,218	700	79,353	917
Rajasthan	1,21,040	685	1,08,066	888
Madhya Pradesh	1,85,375	786	2,14,674	925
Gujarat	2,16,409	803	2,04,852	1045
Andhra Pradesh	2,44,703	802	2,48,865	953
Karnataka	24,858	875	24,240	1,108
Tamil Nadu	21,471	965	5,775	1,150
Others	822	1,383 (Mizoram)	95	1,116 (Mizoram)
TOTAL	12,42,863		10,17,196	

(c) The details of exports of cotton by CCI during 1989-90 and 1990-91 and their sale price in Rupees as well as in foreign currency, is as follows :—

Cotton season	Exports (in bales)	Sales realisation per bale	
		In Rupees	In U.S. Dollers
1989-90	6,65,756	4,311	255·64
1990-91	2,67,060	4,829	271·50

(d) The details of profits earned by Cotton Corporation of India during the last 3 financial years are as follows :

Year	Profit after Tax (Rs. in crores)
1988-89	8·56
1989-90	23·24
1990-91	40·00 (provisional)

[*English*]

Recognition of Foreign Matrimonial Judgements

2413. SHRI RABI RAY : Will the Minister of LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to state :

(a) whether the attention of the Government has been drawn to the latest judgement delivered by the Supreme Court that the courts would not recognise foreign matrimonial judgements if it has been obtained by fraud;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether the Government have assessed its impact on such divorce decrees already ordered or pending before foreign courts including the United States courts; and

(d) if so, the details thereof ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI RANGARAJAN KUMARMANGALAM) : (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. Recently (on 9-7-1991), the Supreme Court in Y. Narasimha Rao & Ors. *Vs.* Y. Venkata Lakshmi & Anr., Criminal Appeal No. 385 of 1991 [Arising out of SLP (Criminal) No. 2860A of 1988) held that decree of divorce passed by a foreign court cannot be recognised and enforced by the courts in this country when the jurisdiction of the foreign court as well as the ground on which it passed the decree is not in accordance with the Act under which the parties were married, and the respondent had not submitted to the jurisdiction of that court or consented to passing of the decree.